



<b>Title</b>	Data of piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting of a bridge undergoing vibration testing and train passage
<b>Author(s)</b>	Cahill, Paul; Hazra, Budhaditya; Karoumi, Raid; Mathewson, Alan; Pakrashi, Vikram
<b>Publication date</b>	2018
<b>Original citation</b>	Cahill, P., Hazra, B., Karoumi, R., Mathewson, A. and Pakrashi, V. (2018) 'Data of piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting of a bridge undergoing vibration testing and train passage', Data in Brief, 17, pp. 261-266. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2018.01.009
<b>Type of publication</b>	Article (peer-reviewed)
<b>Link to publisher's version</b>	<a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235234091830012X">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235234091830012X</a> <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.01.009">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.01.009</a> Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
<b>Rights</b>	© 2018, the Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a> ). <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>
<b>Item downloaded from</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10468/5603">http://hdl.handle.net/10468/5603</a>

Downloaded on 2018-09-30T19:39:55Z



## Data Article

# Data of piezoelectric vibration energy harvesting of a bridge undergoing vibration testing and train passage

Paul Cahill<sup>a</sup>, Budhaditya Hazra<sup>b</sup>, Raid Karoumi<sup>c</sup>,  
Alan Mathewson<sup>d</sup>, Vikram Pakrashi<sup>e,f,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Marine and Renewable Energy Ireland (MaREI), Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Beaufort Building, Ringaskiddy, Co. Cork, Ireland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Civil & Architectural Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Brinellvägen 23, 10044 Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>d</sup> Heterogeneous Systems Integration, Micro & Nano Systems, Tyndall National Institute, Dyke Parade, Cork, Ireland

<sup>e</sup> Dynamical Systems and Risk Laboratory, School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering and Centre for Marine and Renewable Energy Ireland (MaREI), University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

<sup>f</sup> Marine and Renewable Energy Ireland (MaREI) Centre, University College Dublin, Ireland

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 January 2018

Accepted 10 January 2018

## ABSTRACT

The data presented in this article is in relation to the research article “Vibration energy harvesting based monitoring of an operational bridge undergoing forced vibration and train passage” Cahill et al. (2018) [1]. The article provides data on the full-scale bridge testing using piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters on Pershagen Bridge, Sweden. The bridge is actively excited via a swept sinusoidal input. During the testing, the bridge remains operational and train passages continue. The test recordings include the voltage responses obtained from the vibration energy harvesters during these tests and train passages. The original dataset is made available to encourage the use of energy harvesting for Structural Health Monitoring.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.01.007>

\* Corresponding author at: Dynamical Systems and Risk Laboratory, School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering and Centre for Marine and Renewable Energy Ireland (MaREI), University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

E-mail address: [vikram.pakrashi@ucd.ie](mailto:vikram.pakrashi@ucd.ie) (V. Pakrashi).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.01.009>

2352-3409/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Specifications Table** [please fill in right-hand column of the table below]

Subject area	Structural Dynamics
More specific subject area	Energy Harvesting, Structural Health Monitoring, Bridge Engineering
Type of data	Figures, Excel Datasheet
How data was acquired	By deploying piezoelectric energy harvesters to a rail-bridge, while exciting the bridge on site using a shaker while allowing train passages.
Data format	Raw
Experimental factors	The swept sinusoidal excitation was from 3 to 50 Hz with 0.05 Hz/s rate with one exception of 5–10 Hz with 0.01 Hz/s rate with load amplitudes 5 kN and 10 kN respectively. The applied preloads were 15 kN and 10 kN. The natural frequencies of the cantilever piezoelectric energy harvesters using polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) material were 6.09 Hz, 7.11 Hz, 8.37 Hz, 15.75 Hz, 17.95 Hz and 20.45 Hz respectively.
Experimental features	Energy harvesting signatures recorded for different harvesters due to the response of the bridge related to the swept sinusoidal excitation and train passages.
Data source location	Södertälje, Sweden
Data accessibility	With this article

**Value of the data**

- We expect this to be the first public domain dataset around energy harvesting based monitoring for bridges.
- The data will provide a benchmark for structural health monitoring researchers to overcome real challenges in site conditions, when coming up with methods for analysis or markers for monitoring.
- The data is expected to be an important resource for assessing and developing output-only system identification and monitoring algorithms.
- The data will serve as a key reference for future research in energy harvesting based structural health monitoring.

**1. Data**

The data provided here is related to deployment and monitoring of Pershagen Bridge, Sweden using piezoelectric energy harvesters [1] and is related to earlier studies on the concept of vibration energy harvesting based monitoring of built infrastructure [2–4]. A total of six energy harvesting devices with different natural frequencies designed around the natural frequency of the bridge are deployed and the bridge is tested using a shaker with swept sinusoidal loading with different frequency ranges and for different magnitudes of loads.



**Fig. 1.** An example of a piezoelectric cantilever type energy harvester deployed in the bridge.

## 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

### 2.1. Cantilever piezoelectric energy harvester design

As the natural frequency of the bridge structure onto which the piezoelectric device is to be deployed is not precisely known, it is important to maximise the effective operational bandwidth of the energy harvester. As a result, six different cantilever type piezoelectric energy harvesters were chosen. The energy harvesting material was polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) sheets, which were bonded to the cantilever. The cantilever was attached to a rigid base. Fig. 1 presents an example.

The cantilevers could be tested in the laboratory full-scale using a permanent magnet shaker before being deployed on the bridge. The design allows for harvesters to be tuned to different natural frequencies. For the current test, these were 6.09 Hz, 7.11 Hz, 8.37 Hz, 15.75 Hz, 17.95 Hz and 20.45 Hz respectively. The key geometric properties of the cantilevers, along with their masses are presented in Table 1.

### 2.2. Device fabrication and assembly

The PVDF material was bonded to the aluminium cantilever. A 52-micron PVDF with silver electrodes was used. The material was cut to a size of 40 mm in length and 20 mm in width. Using copper conductive adhesive tape, two output solid core wires were attached to upper and lower electrodes to remove the output voltage and connected to a variable resistor to complete the circuit. The resistance was set to a constant value of 1 M $\Omega$ . A hole was created at one end of the cantilever through which a tip mass was placed and the PVDF harvester was bonded to the upper surface of the aluminium beam.

### 2.3. Details of host bridge structure

The host bridge was the Pershagen Bridge, Sweden (Fig. 2). The bridge is a 46.6 m long slab double track rail bridge, consisting of three spans and four supports. The central span is 18.8 m in length and the two side spans have a length of 11.1 m. An overhang exists between the side-spans and the abutments, which

rest on backfill embankments. The bridge is 11.9 m in width out to out and carries ballast of depth 0.6 m atop of the reinforced concrete slab deck, above which rests the train tracks.

### 2.4. Description of shaker unit

The hydraulic shaker unit (Fig. 3) used to excite the Pershagen Bridge is designed by the Division of Structural Engineering and Bridges at KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden [5]. It consists of a hydraulic cylinder with an attached strut, atop of which is a load cell providing a feedback loop. This allows for the force, frequency and displacement of the load being applied to the bridge structure to be constantly maintained. The shaker has the ability to apply a swept sinusoidal loading of varying magnitudes to the connected bridge. As the shaker unit is designed to be positioned, and

**Table 1**  
Key geometric properties of the cantilever type piezoelectric energy harvesters and their masses.

Parameter	Cant 1	Cant 2	Cant 3	Cant 4	Cant 5	Cant 6
Length (m)	0.2195	0.2125	0.2545	0.1645	0.1775	0.151
Width (m)	0.0265	0.0265	0.031	0.0257	0.0256	0.0258
Thickness (m)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012
Mass (kg)	0.0692	0.0683	0.0663	0.0185	0.0191	0.0189



**Fig. 2.** Photograph of Pershagen Bridge, Sweden used for testing a deployment of energy harvesters.



**Fig. 3.** Photograph of shaker for providing excitation to Pershagen Bridge.


**Table 2**  
Details of applied loadings during dynamical testing of host bridge.

Test	Applied pre-load	Load amplitude	Frequency range	Loading rate
Test 1	15 kN	5 kN	3–50 Hz	0.05 Hz/s
Test 2	15 kN	10 kN	3–50 Hz	0.05 Hz/s
Test 3	15 kN	10 kN	5–10 Hz	0.01 Hz/s


subsequently apply loadings from, below the structure, traffic over the bridge is unaffected for the duration of the dynamic testing. Issues related to disruption to services is thus not a factor for consideration.

2.5. Outline of test plan

The shaker unit was placed 2.4 m from the longitudinal midspan of the main central span and 3.45 m from the edge of the bridge. A preload was applied by the shaker between the ground and the bridge, to ensure constant contact between the two during dynamic testing. To determine the response of the bridge to such tests, an array of nine uni-axial accelerometers were mounted along its top edge beams, with data collected using a HBM MGCPlus data acquisition system at a sampling frequency of 600 Hz. A total of four sets of dynamical tests were completed on the bridge. The first of these tests was carried out as an initial assessment to ensure all systems were operating correctly and that appropriate loadings were being applied. The second and third set of tests were carried out at



**Fig. 4.** Acceleration and voltage responses from harvesters from Pershagen Bridge during swept sine testing and passage of trains.



**Fig. 5.** Recursive singular values for train passage detection.

two different loading magnitudes with a similar frequency range and rate of loading applied for both. The fourth set of tests was conducted at a reduced loading rate over a narrow frequency range, centered about the estimated natural frequency. The loading details of the testing conditions are provided in [Table 2](#).

## 2.6. Deployment of harvesters

The energy harvesting devices were installed close to the shaker unit and to an accelerometer. Proximity to the shaker unit increased the responses of harvesters due to higher dynamic responses of the bridge. The accelerometer provided a reference for base excitation inputs to the harvesters. These harvesters were affixed to the top edge beam of the bridge, with the accelerometer at the center. The devices were placed so that the cantilevers were overhanging the bridge, to prevent them

meeting the deck or other items. The response of the devices was monitored and recorded typically at a sampling rate of 100 Hz.

### 3. Measurements of response and monitoring

Harvesting responses were recorded as voltages and compared against accelerometer responses. An example is presented in Fig. 4. Statistical indicators and algorithms [6–8] can subsequently be used to detect features of interest (Fig. 5).

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support from University College Dublin (OBRSS Research Support Scheme 50971) for this work. The authors also wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Irish Research Council through the Government of Ireland Postgraduate Scholarship Scheme, Grant GOIPG/2013/482, Science Foundation Ireland, through Grant 13/TIDA/I12587, Erasmus Mundus EUPHRATES project and SFI Marine and Renewable Energy Ireland (12/RC/2302) (MaREI) Centre.

### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.01.009>.

### References

- [1] P. Cahill, B. Hazra, R. Karoumi, A. Mathewson, V. Pakrashi, Vibration energy harvesting based monitoring of an operational bridge undergoing forced vibration and train passage, *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* 106 (2018) 265–283.
- [2] P. Cahill, N. Nuallain, N. Jackson, A. Mathewson, R. Karoumi, V. Pakrashi, Energy harvesting from train-induced response in bridges, *ASCE J. Bridge Eng.* 19 (9) (2014) 04014034.
- [3] P. Cahill, R. O'Keeffe, N. Jackson, A. Mathewson, V. Pakrashi, Structural Health Monitoring of Reinforced Concrete Beam Using Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting System, in: *EWSHM-Proceedings of the 7th European Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring*, 2014.
- [4] P. Cahill, V. Jaksic, J. Keane, A. O'Sullivan, A. Mathewson, S. Ali, V. Pakrashi, Effect of road surface, vehicle, and device characteristics on energy harvesting from bridge–vehicle interactions, *Comput.-Aided Civil. Infrastruct. Eng.* 31 (12) (2016) 921–935.
- [5] A. Andersson, M. Ülker-Kaustell, R. Borg, O. Dymén, A. Carolin, R. Karoumi, Pilot testing of a hydraulic bridge exciter, *MATEC Web Conf.* 24 (2015) 02001.
- [6] M. Krishnan, B. Bhowmik, B. Hazra, V. Pakrashi, Real time damage detection using recursive principal components and time varying autoregressive modeling, *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* 101 (2018) 549–574.
- [7] B. Hazra, Hybrid Time and Time-frequency Blind Source Separation Towards Ambient System Identification of Structures (Ph.D. thesis), Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Waterloo, Canada (2010) 1–170.
- [8] V. Jaksic, D.P. Mandic, K. Ryan, B. Basu, V. Pakrashi, A comprehensive study of the delay vector variance method for quantification of nonlinearity in dynamical systems, *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 2 (2016) (150493–1–24).